



5



Latest Submission History Both

Latest submission by Eve Rhodes (Archived Learner) 25/04/2022 18:05

On this shift there was a child who was reporting missing. PC 8620 was initially dealing the the mfh , however I offered to assist by conducting an area search for the boy who had gone missing. I planned the search by communicating with PC 8620 to find out what areas the boy knows and if there is anywhere he goes. The boy had no money on him and apparently did not have any places where he would go so I identified the search area as anywhere in walking distance around his home address. I began by looking at the maps on my phone and identified places of interest e.g. a skate park, and I planned to start searching these places first as I thought a young boy may have interest in going there. I had the grounds, justification and legal authority to search these areas as I was trying to locate a vulnerable person. PC 8620 informed me that the boy could have been in possession of a knife as his mum had noticed that one of her kitchen knives had gone. Therefore, whilst searching the areas I was conducting a risk assessment to assess the risk of approaching the boy if he was in possession of a knife. Whilst searching the outdoor spaces I used approved search techniques and ensured that I conducted the search in an ethical and effective manner. (7.1C). (7.2C) (7.5C). (7.11a) (7.11b) (7.11c) (7.12c) (7.13c).(7.14c)

After conducting an area search which was a negative result I started to head back into the station when comms informed us that a but driver had called saying a young male was causing trouble

Evidence Attachments

Nothing attached

Feedback & Comments

From: **John Gregory (Tutor / Trainer)** on **12/04/2022 14:28** To:



5



Latest Submission History Both

Latest submission by Eve Rhodes (Archived Learner) 25/04/2022 18:05

After conducting an area search which was a negative result I started to head back into the station when comms informed us that a bus driver had called saying a young male was causing trouble on the bus and not paying the fare. The male matched the description to the boy that had gone missing. I started to head to where the bus was however I was showing closest whilst my colleagues were about 10 minutes away. As this was the case and there was a high chance that this was the mfh, I was using the NDM and the THRIVE approach to assess the risk. There was a threat that the boy could be in a possession of a knife to cause harm by injuring another person or himself, the risk of this happening was unknown as we did not know much about the boy other than he had severe autism. When i got to the bus I got on it and went to speak to the boy who was sat at the back. I asked him what his name was and he identified himself as the mfh we were looking for. At this point PC 8620 had also landed on scene. I communicated effectively with the boy to get him to calmly get off the bus , I did this by talking calmly and clearly so that he did not panic. Verbal communication is an effective first step to preventing a situation from escalating. PC 8620 then searched the boy and found a knife on him. After updating the srg , the decision was made to return the boy home. It was easy to recognise that this boy was vulnerable due to his age and his learning difficulties and it seemed that he needed our support rather than 'punishment' .

Evidence Attachments

Nothing attached

Feedback & Comments

From: John Gregory (Tutor / Trainer) on 12/04/2022 14:28 To:



5



Latest Submission History Both

Latest submission by Eve Rhodes (Archived Learner) 25/04/2022 18:05

found a knife on him. After updating the srg , the decision was made to return the boy home. It was easy to recognise that this boy was vulnerable due to his age and his learning difficulties and it seemed that he needed our support rather than 'punishment' .

(3.1a) (3.1b) (3.1d).

Whilst taking the boy back to the home address I asked him many questions in order to investigate and understand why he had gone missing from home and why he was in possession of a knife. The boy explained that he believed that by committing a serious offence, the police would be able to delete his social media account which he couldn't do himself. I gave the boy very strong words of advice and offered support to him, but it was difficult to understand why he behaved in such way. The boy was very resistant in wanting support and did not believe he was doing anything wrong. When we got him home I spoke to his mum and explained to her what would happen next. I then submitted a high risk vulnerable child report (REF - 04/53311/22) and PC 8620 submitted a crime report for possession of a bladed article in a public place. By risking the PVP as high risk I was referring the child and family for support from partner agencies which would be given as a priority. Here I demonstrated compliance with NCRS guidelines. Here I also applied a alternative option with disposal of a subject by giving him words of advice. (6.3) (5.1c) (5.2c) (5.3c) (5.4c) (5.5c) (5.6c). (3.1f) (3.1g) (3.2d).

Evidence Attachments

Nothing attached

Feedback & Comments

From: **John Gregory (Tutor / Trainer)** on **12/04/2022 14:28** To: